

United States Patent and Trademark Office

CNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Enited States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Davi 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 72313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/035,880	12/26/2001	Guy Taillieu	522-1770	4318
75	90 06/04/2004		EXAM	INER
William M. Lee Jr.			ORTIZ, ANGELA Y	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	MITH, MCWILLIAMS, S	SWEENEY & OHLSON	A DET LEMBT	na Di'n Na Dango
P.O Box 2786			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Chicago, IL 60690-2786			1732	

DATE MAILED: 06/04/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
•	10/035,880	TAILLIEU, GUY				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Angela Ortiz	1732				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE!	. ' nely filed s will be considered timely. the malling date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 De	ecember 2001.					
,—	, —					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>9-19</u> is/are withdrawn 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 6-8</u> is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-5</u> is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or						
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 26 December 2001 is/ar Applicant may not request that any objection to the o Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	re: a) \boxtimes accepted or b) \square object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priori application f	have been received. have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)	_	•				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa					

Application/Control Number: 10/035,880

Art Unit: 1732

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election of claims 1-8 in Paper dated 19 March 2004 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Claims 9-18 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in Paper dated 19 March 2004.

Specification

The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

The words "AND INSTALLATION" should be deleted from the title.

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: within the specification, the use of "cove 21" is used; is -core—or -cover—intended?

Appropriate correction is required.

Application/Control Number: 10/035,880

Art Unit: 1732

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 1, 6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cope, USP 5,508,103 in view of EP 0 852 997 A1.

The cited primary reference substantially teaches the basic claimed process of molding a relief pattern on an elongated member. The method comprises the steps of preparing a profile from an extrudable material, shaping the profile using a shaper, embossing the profile using a heated embosser while the profile still retains heat from molding. During shaping, the temperature is controlled using jacketing means, to maintain the profile temperature within the desirable parameters that affect the properties of the resulting product. See col. 3, lines 57-67; col. 4, lines 10-45, 60-65; col. 5, lines 46-53.

Application/Control Number: 10/035,880

Art Unit: 1732

The cited primary reference does not set forth the instant claimed features of measuring a structural defect and controlling the heat in response to the measurement.

The added secondary reference teaches as conventional the feature of forming ornamented plastic articles by extruding plastics material and then hot stamping the extruded profile and forming a relief decoration thereon, wherein control means are provided to control the temperature of the molding means. The reference further teaches that the temperature parameters are selected to avoid damage to the molding. See col. 3, lines 1-25; claim 5.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide temperature control means, and to operate such within a desirable temperature range as shown in the added reference, when performing the process set forth in the primary reference, to better achieve a decorated profile without defect.

With respect to claims 6-8, note that the heating means disclosed in the applied prior art is readable on the claimed heating features; also note that in the primary reference, the shaper heats all sides of the profile. See col. 4, lines 24-30.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 2-5 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 1732

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. USP's 2368085; 2404073; 4156389; 4340554; 4379102; 4584150; 4883690; 5571598; 5723199; 5882569; 6379597; EP 731 759; EP 723 845.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Angela Ortiz whose telephone number is 571-272-1206. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 9:00-6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Colaianni can be reached on 571-272-1196. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Angela Ortiz
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1732